

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-6999-2017

Date of decision : 07.03.2017

Dharampal

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Rajesh Khandelwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sulinder Kumar AAG, Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been in custody since 30.08.2016 and that the complainant has also been examined and as such, with the trial nowhere near completion as yet, there would be no reason for the petitioner to continue remain incarceration for such a long time.

On query, learned State counsel does not dispute the fact that the complainant has been examined.

As regards the other person stated to be an eye witness, i.e. the wife of the complainant, learned counsel submits that she was summoned but not having appeared, bailable warrants were issued to secure her presence.

Keeping in view the above and the fact that the petitioner has been in custody for the past 8 months and the material witness, i.e. the complainant, has been examined, with the trial nowhere near conclusion as

yet, I deem it appropriate to admit the petitioner to bail to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

Disposed of.

March 07, 2017.

Davinder Kumar

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No