

118

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-6989 of 2017
Date of decision : 23.03.2017**

Rubeena @ Rubina and another

..... Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGHPresent: Mr. Harinder Pal Singh Ishar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajesh Mehta, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners having already made statements before a police official of Police Station City S.B.S.Nagar (Nawan Shahar), to the effect that they are no longer in need of any protection, he does not press this petition.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Mohinder Singh, affirms that such statements have been made by the petitioners.

Consequently, this petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, with a direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to, however, continue to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is duly protected, as per law.

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE****March 23, 2017**

Ramandeep/Vinay

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No