

214

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 6983 of 2017

Date of Decision: 17.05.2017

Ranjit Singh @ Nikka

.....Petitioner

Vs

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arshdeep S. Kler, D.A.G., Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioner seeks grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case bearing FIR No. 135, dated 18.06.2015, registered under Sections 22/61/85 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (for short the N.D.P.S. Act) at Police Station Division No. 8, District Jalandhar.

The petitioner is accused of the offence under

Section 22 of the N.D.P.S. Act. 260 grams of intoxicant powder was allegedly recovered from him, which on chemical analysis was found to be Alprazolam.

The petitioner was granted interim bail till receipt of the report of chemical examiner. On receipt of the report, the interim bail granted to the petitioner was cancelled and he has already surrendered before the trial Court.

Alprazolam is covered under Serial No. 30 of the Schedule appended to the N.D.P.S. Act. As per the recommendations of the Review Committee, Alprazolam is also covered under the Schedule 'H' of the Drug and Cosmetics Act, 1940.

In view of **Rachhpal Singh @ Goldi Vs. State of Punjab**, decided on **15.02.2017**, in **CRM-M No.34998 of 2016** and **Parveen @ Dunga Vs. State of Punjab, 2014 (33) R.C.R. (Criminal) 46**, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail.

In view of above, petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on regular bail, subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds/ surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression of any opinion on merits of the case.

May 17, 2017

Apurva

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No