

CRM-M-6982-2017

[1]

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr.No.260)

CRM-M-6982-2017

Date of Decision:-May 19, 2017

Harpreet Singh

.....Petitioner

V/S

UT of Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present : Mr. Harkanwar Jeet Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. G.S. Chahal, Addl. P.P. for UT Chandigarh.

Mr. Manpreet Singh, Advocate,
for respondents No.2 and 3-complainants.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.145 dated 22.08.2016 registered under Sections 332 and 353 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station-11, Chandigarh the petitioner is being prosecuted.

Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3-complainants state that the compromise has been arrived at between the parties. This Court had directed the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to record the statements vide order dated March 02, 2017 in view of the compromise effected between the parties and verify that whether the same is genuine or not. Now, there is a report received from the District & Sessions Judge, Chandigarh

showing that the statements of the parties have been recorded and compromise that has been arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure, coercion or undue influence.

Looking to the nature of the offences registered in the FIR, I think, parties should be allowed to compound/compromise the offences in order to live in peace and harmony.

In that view of the matter, FIR No.145 dated 22.08.2016 registered under Sections 332 and 353 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station-11, Chandigarh is quashed by way of compounding/compromise along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

Disposed of.

May 19, 2017

pankaj

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No