

CRM-M-698 of 2017**Date of decision: 20.02.2017****Lakhwinder Singh**

... Petitioner

VS.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

* * *

Present : Mr. Prateek Pandit, Advocate
for the petitioner.Ms. Dhivya Jerath, Advocate for
respondent-State.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J.(ORAL)

Through the present petition filed under Section 439 Cr. P. C., the petitioner seeks regular bail in FIR No.83, dated 21.09.2016, registered under Sections 323/ 324/ 452/ 506/ 148, 149 IPC and Sections 307/308 (added later) at Police Station Fattudhinga, District Kapurthala.

Seeking regular bail for the petitioner, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been in custody since 23.11.2016; the investigation in the case is over as the challan has been presented and there is no other criminal case pending against the petitioner; the petitioner has been attributed a simple injury to Ajaib Singh on a non-vital part of the body and that since there are eighteen prosecution witnesses, trial of the case would take a long time to conclude.

He further submits that the co-accused Kuldeep Singh who has also been attributed simple injury to Ajaib Singh, had earlier approached this Court through CRM-M-40736 of 2016-Kuldeep Singh & Anr. Vs. State of Punjab and vide order of this Court dated 08.12.2016, has been granted anticipatory bail.

CRM-M-698 of 2017 :2:

Learned State counsel has not controverted the above.

After considering the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner and finding merit therein, I am of the considered opinion that the petitioner deserves to be admitted to regular bail.

Bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate,
Kapurthala

Nothing observed herein above shall be considered to be an expression of opinion by this court on the merits of the case.

February 20, 2017
rimpal

(Deepak Sibal)
Judge

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>