

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-6975 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : 18.04.2017

Kamaljit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mohd. Yousaf, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab

Mr. Sumeet Puri, Advocate for the complainant.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short, 'the Cr.P.C.') seeking regular bail in FIR No.76 dated 24.09.2015, registered under Section 420 read with Section 34 IPC at Police Station City-2, Mansa, District Mansa.

It is contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is highly improbable that the complainant got the sale deed executed twice on the asking of the petitioner without taking any money.

Custody certificate has been filed in the Court, same is taken on record.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel and learned counsel for the complainant state that complainant had sold his land to the

petitioner and one Navjit Kaur and they had not paid the sale consideration.

Therefore, the petitioner played fraud upon the complainant.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the entire record on file.

There are specific allegations against the petitioner that after the execution of the sale deed, sale consideration was not paid to the complainant. Moreover, the petitioner had borrowed Rs.16,80,000/- from the complainant, which was also not returned. Considering the specific allegations made against the petitioner and the gravity of the offence, no case for bail is made out at this stage.

Dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

18.04.2017

ashok

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes / No

Whether reportable: Yes / No