

205

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-6965 of 2017

Date of decision: July 31, 2017

Rajesh Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG Haryana.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.423 dated 26.07.2016, under Sections 323, 353, 506, 382, 342 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station City Yamuna Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail. This Court had made an order dated 02.03.2017 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Assistant Sub Inspector Baljit Singh states that the petitioner has joined investigation and custody is not required.

Accordingly, the interim order dated 02.03.2017 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner, is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE**

July 31, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No