

127

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-6946 of 2017

Date of decision: March 01, 2017

Ashok Kumar Yadav and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Rao Ajender Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners.

Revisional Court has, in Para 11, found that the trial Court order did not have any reasons. Para 11 of the same reads thus:-

“11. Hence, without going into the merits of the other contentions raised in this revision, this Court considers it fit to set aside the impugned order to be illegal. It is set aside, being illegal. The parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court on 28.02.2017, the date already fixed, on which date learned trial Court after providing hearing to learned counsel for both the parties shall pass a reasoned order on the point of framing charge against the accused. To that extent, the present revision is accepted. However, nothing mentioned above shall be treated as my opinion on the merits of the case. xxxx.”

Revisional Court, therefore, directed the trial Court to hear the parties and thereafter, give reasons for its order on the point of framing of charge. No fault can be find out with the impugned

revisional order since still the petitioners have liberty to curtail proceedings before the trial Court.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission for withdrawal of the petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn with abovesaid observations.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

March 01, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No