

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No.M-6914 of 2017
Date of Decision: March 29, 2017

Hansraj		...Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana		...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. R.S. Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr. Sharad Kumar Yadav, DAG, Haryana

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

By this petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks the concession of 'regular bail'.

In response to the query of this Court as recorded in the order dated 17.03.2017, learned State Counsel, on instructions from Head Constable Sunil Kumar posted at Police Station Hodal, submits that as per a communication received from Police Station Chatta, District Mathura (U.P.), the petitioner is not seen to be involved in any case in that State either.

Keeping in view the aforesaid and also the fact that the petitioner has been in custody since September, 2016, with the complainant already having testified before the trial Court and in fact stated to have turned hostile, I see no purpose in keeping the petitioner in custody with 7 witnesses still to be examined.

Consequently, without commenting upon the merits of the case,

this petition is allowed. The petitioner would be enlarged on bail, upon his
furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial
Court.

March 29, 2017
vcgarg

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes
Whether reportable: no