

101

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.9078-79 of 2017 IN/AND
CRM-M-6895 of 2017
Date of decision: March 28, 2017**

Veer Kaur and others

.....Applicants-Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. B.S. Dharma, Advocate for the applicants-petitioners.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

CRM No.9078 of 2017

Heard.

Application is allowed and date of hearing fixed in the main case, i.e. CRM-M-6895 of 2017, is preponed and at the oral request of learned counsel for the applicants-petitioners, the same is taken up for hearing today itself.

CRM No.9079 of 2017

Heard.

Application is allowed as prayed for. Annexures P-3 to P-5 are taken on record.

CRM-M-6895 of 2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners, on instructions from the petitioners, states that the petitioners are not willing to offer any

compensation for demolition of the property of the complainant.

Upon hearing the learned counsel for the petitioners, I find that the petitioners indulge in causing demolition of the property of the complainant fully knowing that the complainant had succeeded in the civil litigation and the petitioners were not entitled to breach the rule of law. The petitioners cannot be given a premium in the form of anticipatory bail over their illegal act.

Petition stands dismissed.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

March 28, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No