

**206 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-6891-2017
Date of decision-27.04.2017**

**Imran and another
Vs.
State of Haryana**

**...Petitioners

...Respondent**

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Jamshed Ahmed, Advocate for
Mr. Saleem Ahmed, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana assisted by
ASI Shakuntraj.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.529 dated 14.10.2016 registered under Sections 13 (2) and 17 of the Haryana Gauvansh Sanrakshan & Gausamvardhan Act, 2015, Section 11 of Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act, 1960 and Section 120-B of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Nuh, District Mewat.

On 02.03.2017, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that the petitioners were not arrested from the spot.

Notice of motion for 27.04.2017.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioners by the Arresting Officer, they shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:-

1.That they shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

2.That they shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police

*officer and;
3. That they shall not leave India without
prior permission of the Court.”*

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 02.03.2017 the petitioners have joined the investigation.

The learned State counsel on instructions, submits that the petitioners have joined the investigation and they are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 02.03.2017, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

April 27, 2017

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No