

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-6849 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: March 6, 2017

Preeti

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Dinesh Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S.Virk, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Shantanu, Advocate
for the complainant.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner-Preeti, has filed this petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for brevity, 'Cr.P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.185, dated 25.03.2016, registered at Police Station City Ballabhagarh, District Faridabad, under Section 306 of Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion has been issued in this case.

Learned State counsel put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and Mr. Shantanu, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant and contested this petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

From the record, I find that the present petitioner is named in the FIR. She was the second wife of Naresh brother of the complainant. As per the allegations in the FIR as well as suicide note, present petitioner's son from the first marriage has committed obscene acts with the daughter of Naresh from his first marriage. There are also allegations regarding harassment, beatings, etc. by the present petitioner.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case and in view of the nature and gravity of the offence and in view of the fact that the petitioner is required for custodial interrogation, I do not find it a fit case where the petitioner is entitled for the benefit of anticipatory bail.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

March 6, 2017

rajesh k.khurana

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether Reportable	:	No