

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-25275-2015 IN/& CRR-2497-2015

Date of decision : 13.01.2017

Amit Bhargav

... Petitioner

Versus

State of UT and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.P.C.Rana, Advocate
for the applicant-petitioner.

Ms.Ashima Mor, APP for U.T. Chandigarh.

Mr.Ankit Chowdhri, Advocate
for respondent no.2.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

CRM-25275-2015 & CRR-2497-2015

By this application compounding of the offence stated to have been commissioned under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act 1881 is sought, on the basis of a compromise arrived at between the petitioner and respondent no.2, i.e. the complainant.

The affidavit of the complainant, Vinod Kumar, has also been annexed as Annexure P2, stating to the effect that a full and final settlement of the claim of the complainant, to the tune of ₹2 lacs, has been arrived at and the amount has been paid to him vide a bank draft bearing no. 693506 dated 09.07.2015.

The complainant-respondent no.2 is also stated to be personally present in the Court and is identified by his counsel. He has also stated to

the above effect.

Hence, the offence being compoundable in terms of Section 147 of the Negotiable Instruments Act 1881, the petition is disposed of in terms of the compromise, the offence having been compounded as aforesaid.

Consequently, with the compounding of the offence, the petitioner is acquitted of the charge framed against him.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

January 13, 2017.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No