

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRL. WRIT PETITION No.1239 OF 2013 (O&M)**  
**DATE OF DECISION : 24<sup>th</sup> MARCH, 2017**

**Urmila Natt**

**.... Petitioner**

**Versus**

**State of Punjab & others**

**.... Respondents**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI**

\* \* \* \*

Present :     Mr. Aditya Pal Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

                 Mr. Daljeet Singh Virk, DAG, Punjab.

                 None for respondents No.1 to 6.

                 Mr. D.P.S. Mann, Advocate for

                 Mr. R. S. Randhawa, Advocate for respondent No.7.

\* \* \* \*

**A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)**

                 Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

                 Pursuant to the notice issued by this Court affidavits have been filed. The girl has not been traced out. The prosecution has arrested two GRP Police personnel and thereafter were put on scientific test but nothing has emerged.

                 Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the CCTV footage ought to have been inspected.

                 Learned State counsel submits that the required period about retention of footage expired and as such that is not possible.

Though it is unfortunate that the girl cannot be traced out, the fact remains that from 2013 whatever humanly efforts could have been made have been made. Nothing more could be done now.

In that view of the matter, the petition is disposed of with no further order.

**24<sup>th</sup> MARCH, 2017**  
*‘raj’*

**(A. B. CHAUDHARI)**  
**JUDGE**

|                            |     |    |
|----------------------------|-----|----|
| Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes | No |
| Whether Reportable:        | Yes | No |