

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.6690 of 2017  
Date of Decision: 08.03.2017**

Gurdeep @ Deep .....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ... Respondent

**CORAM:-HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH**

Present:Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Shilesh Gupta, Addl, AG, Punjab.

\*\*\*

**RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)**

Petitioner seeks grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case bearing FIR No.151 dated 21.09.2014 registered under Sections 326, 307, 341, 148, 149, 506 IPC at Police Station Division No.3, Jalandhar.

In respect of occurrence dated 19.09.2014, the FIR came to be registered on 21.09.2014 at the instance of Parveen Mehta who alleged that he was involved in the business of sale and purchase of auto vehicles. Few days prior to the occurrence, there was a dispute between Sunny and Bhuvan Sharma. Bhuvan Sharma suspected that the Sunny had the support of the complainant. On 19.09.2014 at about 6:30 PM, he was returning from the college on his motorcycle and when he reached near Devi Talab Mandir, Puneet, Kamal, Lalli, Bhuvan Sharma, Sunny, Gollu and Gurdeep @ Deep were

found present duly armed with Kirpans/datars. Munna brother of Kamal was also present there. Kamal and Lalli raised a lalkara. Thereafter, Puneet gave a datar blow on the left wrist of the complainant and his hand was cut. On raising alarm, accused fled away from the spot.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the case is of version and cross version. Cross version of the case involves complicity of the complainant party in respect of selling illicit liquor near Devi Temple and thereafter involved in inflicting alleged injuries to the complainant party in cross case i.e. petitioner side. Initially, petitioner was declared as proclaimed offender on 22.07.2016 and thereafter, he was arrested on 03.12.2016. Learned counsel further submitted that no specific role has been attributed to the petitioner in the version of the FIR.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI, Gurnam Singh submitted that two of the prosecutions witnesses have been examined, out of total 7 witnesses and now 15.03.2017 is the date fixed for remaining prosecution evidence.

Keeping in view the period of custody of the petitioner and alleged role assigned to him in the FIR, I deem it appropriate to grant regular bail to the petitioner subject to his furnishing heavy bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction

of the trial Court.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression of any opinion on merits of the case.

08.03.2017  
prince

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)  
JUDGE

Whether Reasoned/Speaking

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No