

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR-2385-2014 (O&M)
Date of decision: 29.08.2017**

Aman Sheoran

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Manjeet Singh, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.R.K.Makkad, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Heard.

The present revision has been filed against the judgment dated 9.12.2013 passed by Principal Magistrate, Juvenile Justice Board, Hisar, whereby the petitioner, who is juvenile offender, was held guilty for commission of offence punishable under Sections 323, 289, 506 IPC and warned to avoid such like offences in future.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has challenged the conviction on the ground that the conviction is recorded on the basis of confession and that the confession was not properly recorded and is in violation of Section 281 Cr.P.C. as it is not in question answer form.

I am of the view that after the framing of charge, the accused voluntarily made statement and his statement recorded in narration form in which he admitted the commission of offence. If it is not recorded in

question answer form, it is merely an irregularity and not illegality effecting the legality of the trial. Under Section 313 Cr.P.C., the Magistrate has the power to record the statement of the accused at any stage without any previous warning.

It being so, there is no illegality or infirmity in the impugned order.

Resultantly, the present petition stands dismissed.

29.08.2017

gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No