

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.120

CRM-M No.6668 of 2017
Date of decision: 28.02.2017

Yasmin Rohidas Patil @ Farhana Khan and anotherPetitioners

versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Rajesh K. Kataria, Advocate
for the petitioners.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have married each other against the wishes of respondents No.4 and 5 and on account of this act on their part, they are under threat of being eliminated at the hands of respondents No. 4 and 5. In view of the above, seeking protection, a representation dated 21.02.2017 (Annexure P-4) was made on behalf of the petitioners to the Superintendent of Police, Nuh (Haryana), but to no avail.

In the above circumstances, the petitioners have approached this Court through the present petition.

Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the matter, particularly the validity of the alleged marriage and the age of the petitioners as projected by them in the present petition, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Superintendent of Police, Nuh (Haryana) (respondent No.2) to forthwith consider the aforesaid

petitioners and thereafter take appropriate action on the same as is deemed fit in accordance with law to ensure the protection of life and liberty of the petitioners.

It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

February 28, 2017

Jyoti 1

- (i) Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No*
- (ii) Whether reportable Yes/No*