

241

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRR No.2366 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: July 28, 2017

Mukesh Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Yesh Paal Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG Haryana.

Mr. Kanwar Goyal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

This is a petition filed by the petitioner-original complainant in the matter of discharge order made by the Special Judge, Panipat in P.C. Act case No.3 of 2013, for the offence under Section 19 of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 read with Section 245(2) of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.

It is not in dispute that the petitioner had filed protest petition in the proceedings before the Special Judge in which cancellation report was filed by the investigating agency. The Special Court found that the protest petition was without any merit for reasons more than one. One of the reasons, *inter alia*, was that there was no sanction and on merits also, the Court found it was not a fit case to frame charge against the accused.

Having perused the impugned order, I find that the trial Court made no error in making the discharge order on both the counts. In the result, it is not possible to interfere with the impugned order.

Petition stands dismissed.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

July 28, 2017
mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No