

CRM No. M-6624 of 2017 (O&M)

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-6624 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 13.11.2017

Charanjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. H.P.S. Rakhra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanisth Ganeriwala, Assistant Advocate General,
Punjab.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that inadvertently Deputy Inspector General of Police, Jalandhar Range, Jalandhar has been impleaded as respondent No. 2 instead of Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar.

At the oral request of learned counsel for the petitioner, respondent No. 2 shall be read as Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar instead of Deputy Inspector General of Police, Jalandhar Range, Jalandhar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that daughter of the petitioner was married to the son of respondent No. 6, who was the Additional Deputy Commissioner of Jalandhar and the relations between the two had soured. Learned counsel further contends that the daughter of the petitioner has also filed a complaint against respondents No. 5 and 6 in this regard and as

a counter blast several complaints have been filed by respondents No. 4, 5 and 6 against the petitioner and his family members most of which have been found to be false. The petitioner and his family is being harassed and threatened by private respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has drawn my attention to the representation 17.02.2017 (Annexure P-1) sent to respondent No. 2 and states that the petitioner will be satisfied if the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to consider his representation and take steps to ensure that no harm is caused to petitioner and his family.

Without making any observations on the merits of the case, the instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to look into the representation dated 17.02.2017 (Annexure P-1) and take action, if necessary, in accordance with law to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

November 13, 2017
kanchan

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned?</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable?</i>	<i>No</i>