

**205 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-661-2017(O&M)
Date of decision : 17.05.2017**

Satvinder Kaur and another Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and another Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present: Mr. Kailash Chander, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikas Malik, DAG, Haryana

Mr. S. K. Verma, Advocate for the complainant.

REKHA MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioners pray for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 867 dated 22.11.2016, under Sections 323, 498-A read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code (in short "the IPC"), registered at Police Station, Sirsa City, Haryana.

Counsel for the petitioners has submitted that petitioner No.1- Satvinder Kaur has already joined investigation in terms of order dated 13.01.2017 passed by this Court and is ready to face proceedings in accordance with law. It is further submitted that all articles of dowry have already been recovered. The complainant has claimed one gold set in addition to the articles already recovered but she has not produced any bill in respect thereof. However, the petitioners are ready to compensate the complainant qua her claim of gold set by payment of Rs. 50,000/-.

In view of the above, interim bail granted to petitioner No.1 vide order dated 13.01.2017 is made absolute subject to the conditions

incorporated therein. Petitioner No.2 shall join investigation within a period of 10 days. On his appearance before the investigating officer he shall be released on bail subject to the following conditions:-

- (i) He shall join the investigation as and when required by the Investigating Officer;
- (ii) He shall not offer any threat, promise or inducement to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing the same to the Investigating Officer/Court; and
- (iii) He shall not leave the limits of this country without prior permission of the Court;
- (iv) He shall prepare a demand draft of Rs.50,000/- towards claim of the complainant of one gold set and submit the same before the investigating officer at the time of appearance before him and the same shall be handed over to the complainant subject to outcome of the litigation.

Failure of petitioner No.2 to pay an amount of Rs. 50,000/- to the complainant shall entail dismissal of the petition.

Any observation recorded by this Court for disposal of the petition would not cause prejudice to either of the parties in pending litigation.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE

May 17, 2017
sunita

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No