

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRM- M- 6574 of 2017 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 28.11.2017

Mona Goyal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN**

Present: Ms. Suman, Advocate for  
Mr. Gaurave Bhayyia Gilhotra, Advocate  
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Anju Arora, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Raj Paul Kansal, Advocate for respondent No.6.

\*\*\*\*

**Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)**

By filing the present petition, under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner seeks issuance of direction to the official respondents for protection of her life and liberty allegedly threatened by the private respondent Nos. 6 to 17.

Reply filed on behalf of the State is taken on record.

As per reply, the petitioner is the legally wedded wife of respondent No.6-Deepak Goyal. During the subsistence of marriage, the petitioner without any reason left the matrimonial home and has been living in adultery and residing with somebody whose name has not come on record. It is asserted by the learned State counsel that the purpose of filing the present petition appears to get protection, so that she may not be

prosecuted by the respondents. Even during the investigation, the statements of parents of the petitioner i.e. Vasudev Singla, father and Chetan Singla, brother of the petitioner were recorded who have categorically stated that the petitioner being highly qualified person is free to take decision for herself.

Learned counsel for respondent No.6 states that after the petitioner left the matrimonial home, her whereabouts are not known.

The reply on behalf of the State appears to have been filed to serve the purpose of respondent Nos. 6 to 17, as without there being any evidence a specific averment has been made that the petitioner is living in adultery.

As there is no assistance on behalf of the petitioner and in view of the reply filed by the State, the present petition is dismissed at this stage with liberty to the petitioner to revive the same in case her grievance persists within six months from today.

28.11.2017

*sumit.k*

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)**  
**JUDGE**

|                             |     |    |
|-----------------------------|-----|----|
| Whether speaking/reasoned : | Yes | No |
| Whether Reportable :        | Yes | No |