

CRM-M-6536-2017

[1]

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(Sr.No.257)

CRM-M-6536-2017

Date of Decision:-May 05, 2017

Navdeep Kaur

.....Petitioner

V/S

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present : Mr. Harkaran Singh, Advocate,
for Mr. Kamalpreet Bawa, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ritu Punj, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

Mr. Matinder Brar, Advocate,
for Mr. Shivender Pal Singh, Advocate,
for respondent No.2-complainant.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.81 dated 28.12.2012 registered under Section 380 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Badhni Kalan, District Moga, the petitioner is being prosecuted.

Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned counsel for the complainant state that the compromise has been arrived at between the parties. This Court had directed the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to record the statements vide order dated February 28, 2017, in view of the compromise effected between the parties and verify that whether the same is genuine or not. Now, there is a report received from the Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Nihal Singh Wala showing that the statements of the parties have been recorded and compromise that has

been arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure, coercion or undue influence.

Looking to the nature of the offence registered in the FIR, I think, parties should be allowed to compound/compromise the offence in order to live in peace and harmony.

In that view of the matter, FIR No.81 dated 28.12.2012 registered under Section 380 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Badhni Kalan, District Moga is quashed by way of compounding/compromise along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

Disposed of.

May 05, 2017
pankaj

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Yes/No
Yes/No