IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.120

CRM-M No.6521 of 2017
Date of decision: 27.02.2017

Manpreet Singh and another ....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others ....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Bawa, Advocate
for the petitioners.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have married each other against the wishes of the parents of petitioner No.2 and on account of this act on their part, they are under threat of being eliminated at the hands of respondents No. 6 to 8. In view of the above, seeking protection, a representation dated 22.02.2017 (Annexure P-10) was made on behalf of the petitioners to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar and Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala as well, but to no avail.

In the above circumstances, the petitioners have approached this Court through the present petition.

Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the matter, particularly the validity of the alleged marriage and the age of the petitioners as projected by them in the present petition, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala (respondent No.3) to forthwith consider the aforesaid

Jyoti Sharma
2017.02.27 17:37
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

representation dated 22.02.2017 (Annexure P-10) made on behalf of the petitioners and thereafter take appropriate action on the same as is deemed fit in accordance with law to ensure the protection of life and liberty of the petitioners.

It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

February 27, 2017
Jyoti 1

(i) Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
(ii) Whether reportable Yes/No

Jyoti Sharma
2017.02.27 17:37
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document