

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No.M-6515 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: March 02, 2017

Vijay ...Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Munish Kumar Garg, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Vikas Chopra, DAG, Haryana

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not been specifically named in the FIR and in fact the injuries on the victim are also attributed to one Ravi, who is in custody.

He further submits that the petitioner was subsequently named by Ravi in a disclosure statement made as per the prosecution.

Keeping in view the fact that the petitioner has not been specifically named in the FIR, with the petitioner having remained in jail for the last two months and the trial being nowhere near conclusion as yet, I deem it appropriate to grant him the concession of regular bail.

Consequently, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner would be enlarged on bail on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court, subject to his continuing to appear before that Court as and when summoned.

March 02, 2017
vcgarg

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge

Whether reasoned/speaking: yes
Whether reportable: no