

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

-:-
CRM-M No. 6508-2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 7.4.2017

Nitin Singh Kanwar and others

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of U.T. Chandigarh and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Diwan S. Adlakha, Advocate for petitioners.
Mr. Amit Kumar Goyal, AAP, U.T. Chandigarh.

Ritu Bahri, J. (Oral)

Quashing of FIR No. 37 dated 30.3.2016 under Sections 498-A, 406 & 313 of IPC registered at Women Police Station Sector 17, Chandigarh is being sought on the basis of compromise deed dated 30.1.2017 (Annexure P-2).

Respondent No. 2 got married to petitioner No. 1 on 10.11.2013 as per Hindu Rites. No child was born out of the wedlock. Due to temperamental differences, both the parties could not live together. The relationship between them became strained and thereafter, F.I.R was registered against the petitioners on account of harassing and humiliating respondent No. 2 on the pretext of bringing insufficient dowry.

However, the matter has now been duly compromised. In compliance of order dated 27.2.2017, report dated 31.3.2017 of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class Chandigarh has been received in this regard. As per report, statement of parties have been recorded. Complainant-respondent No.2 stated that the matter stands compromised between the parties and she does not want to proceed further against the accused and has no objection, if the present F.I.R be quashed against the petitioners. The compromise has been

entered voluntarily. To the same effect is the statements given by petitioners.

Consequently, in view of the status report dated 31.3.2017 and in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Dr. Arvind Barsaul etc. versus State of Madhya Pradesh and another 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 910***, no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No. 37 dated 30.3.2016 under Sections 498-A, 406 & 313 of IPC registered at Women Police Station Sector 17, Chandigarh is quashed along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

7.4.2017
preeti

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No