

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

CRM -M-6478 of 2017

Date of Decision: 30.5.2017

Sukhjeet Singh

---Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and another

---Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

Present: Mr. Govind Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner
Mr. Neeraj Sharma, AAG, Punjab
None for respondent No. 2

Rekha Mittal, J.

By invoking Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (in short, 'Cr.P.C.'), the petitioner has prayed for quashing of FIR No. 129 dated 22.10.2016 for offence punishable under Sections 498-A and 406 of the Indian Penal Code (in short, 'IPC') registered at Police Station, Jaitu, District Faridkot and proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 6.2.2017 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

In the present case, the FIR was registered on the statement of Sukhpreet Kaur wife of Sukhjeet Singh. Now, dispute between the parties has been resolved by way of compromise (Annexure P-2).

Vide order dated 27.2.2017, the parties were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court to get their statements recorded with regard to genuineness of compromise.

Pursuant thereto, a report has been submitted by Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Jaitu wherein it has been reported that statements of the parties have been recorded and they have voluntarily compromised the

matter.

Counsel for the State has not disputed that the parties i.e. petitioner and respondent No. 2 (complainant) have arrived at a settlement with an intent to give burial to their differences.

Perusal of allegations in the FIR reveals that the present case squarely falls in that category of cases which can be quashed by the High Court, in exercise of its inherent power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Keeping in view the authoritative enunciation of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in '*Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another*', 2012(4) *R.C.R. (Criminal)* 543 and in the light of facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court is of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings would amount to abuse of process of law and it is expedient in the interest of justice that criminal proceedings are put to an end.

For the foregoing reasons, the petition is allowed and No. 129 dated 22.10.2016 for offence punishable under Sections 498-A and 406 IPC registered in Police Station, Jaitu, District Faridkot and proceedings emanating therefrom stand quashed qua the petitioner.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

30.5.2017
PARAMJIT

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No