

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRR No.2151 of 2014.

Decided on:-July 26, 2017.

Raj Narayan Khanna.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

The State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present:- Mr. Charanjit Singh Bakhshi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Atul Nanda, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Vinod S. Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the respondent No.2.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

By way of the present revision petition, the petitioner has challenged the charge framed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Jalandhar vide order dated 12.06.2014.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that while framing the charge, learned trial Court has relied upon some report dated 17.10.2008 submitted by the complainant Electricity Department despite the fact that this report was not a part of the Challan/report under Section 173 Cr.P.C.

Mr. Atul Nanda, learned senior counsel, on instructions from Mr. Vinod S. Bhardwaj, Advocate for respondent No.2, states that the report dated 17.10.2008 is already part of the Challan and it is on the basis of the aforesaid report, the charge has been framed by the trial Court.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he does not press the present revision petition.

Dismissed as not pressed.

July 26, 2017

Yag Dutt

**(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No