

212

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.6427 of 2017
Date of Decision: 02.03.2017**

SUKHWINDER SINGH

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Vivek Salathia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Ghuman, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioner seeks grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case bearing FIR No.127 dated 20.12.2016, registered under Sections 465, 467, 468, 420 IPC at Police Station Sadar Rupnagar, District Rupnagar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner is accused of the offences under Sections 465, 467, 468, 420 IPC on the ground that some incriminating documents were recovered from him. Petitioner was arrested on 20.12.2016 and since then, he is in custody. Ingredients of offences in question would be a debatable issue at the time of trial.

At the time of rejecting the bail application, Additional

Sessions Judge gave liberty to the petitioner to file fresh bail application after completion of the investigation.

Learned State counsel on instructions from HC Khushal Singh submitted that the investigation is almost complete and only report from the Forensic Science Laboratory is to be obtained.

At this stage, without adverting to the merits of the case, after considering the custody period and non-filing of challan within the stipulated period of 60 days, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail.

In view of above, petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail, subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate, Rupnagar.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression of any opinion on merits of the case.

March 02, 2017.

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Yes/No

Yes/No