

**209 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-6399 of 2017.

Date of Decision: 21.03.2017.

Chander Parkash @ Binda

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. Karan Padam, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 the Cr.P.C seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No.217 dated 02.12.2016 registered under Sections 323, 326, 427, 506 and 148 read with Section 149 IPC at Police Station Division No.5, District Ludhiana.

On 28.02.2017 this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that it is a case of version and cross version. The injury attributed to the petitioner is on non-vital part and simple in nature. He further contends that the co-accused, namely, Narinder Kumar has been admitted to regular bail by this Court (Annexure P-3) and no recovery is to be effected from the petitioner. The petitioner is not involved in any other FIR.

Notice of motion for 08.03.2017.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the Arresting Officer, he shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:-

- 1.That he shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;
- 2.That he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;
- 3.That he shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.”

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 28.02.2017, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, states that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of above, the order dated 28.02.2017 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to furnishing of bail-bonds/surety bonds by the petitioner to the satisfaction of the CJM/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

21.03.2017.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No