

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRR No.209 OF 2015 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 9th MARCH, 2017

Ashok Sharma

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & another

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. K. S. Rekhi, Advocate for the petitioner.
 Mr. Abhishek Chautala, Asst. Advocate General, Punjab.
 Ms. Amandeep Kaur, Advocate for
 Mr. Kanwar Pal Singh, Advocate for respondent No.2.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

Rule heard forthwith with the consent of learned counsel for the parties.

Ms. Amandeep Kaur, Advocate put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2 in 138 NI Act. This Court had issued notice of motion on January 21, 2015 subject to deposit of ₹1,50,000/-. Thereafter this court passed various orders. Now learned counsel for the petitioner states that in all total amount that stands paid ₹3,00,000/- and today he has brought draft of the remaining amount of ₹45,000/-. According to him payment of ₹3,45,000/- in total towards full and final settlement of the subject matter of the dispute has been paid in the present matter and consequently the judgment may be quashed and set aside in terms of compounding/compromise.

Learned counsel for the complainant makes categorical statement that it is true that full and final settlement has been arrived at ₹3,45,000/- and with this payment of ₹45,000/- by demand draft in the last full and final settlement would be achieved.

Statements of counsel for both the parties are accepted. In the result following order is made:

ORDER

- (i) The CRR No.209 OF 2015 is allowed by way of compounding/compromise.
- (ii) The impugned judgment and orders are consequently quashed and set aside in the wake of full and final settlement as stated above.

Disposed of accordingly.

9th MARCH, 2017
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No