

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -6313 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 08.03.2017

Amrik Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. M.S. Sodhi, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.80 dated 10.10.2016, registered under Section 379-B (II) of IPC, at Police Station Chabhal, District Tarn Taran.

On 27.02.2017, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that two FIRs were registered against the petitioners relating to sequence of offence. In first FIR No.119 dated 10.10.2016, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 307, 148 read with Section 149 IPC and 25 and 27 Arms Act, petitioners have been allowed interim bail in CRM-M-43933-2016 and CRM-M-1050-2017. In both the FIRs, petitioners were not named.

Notice of motion for 08.03.2017.

List along with CRM-M-43933-2016 and CRM-M-1050-2017.

In the meanwhile, the petitioners are directed to surrender before the police and join investigation within two weeks. In the event of their arrest being required, they shall be released on interim bail till the next date, subject to their furnishing bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer. However, they shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2)(i) to (iv) Cr.P.C. failing which they shall loose the benefit of interim bail allowed to them.”

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 27.02.2017, the petitioners have joined the investigation.

The learned State counsel, on instructions submits that the petitioners have joined the investigation and they are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 27.02.2017, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

08.03.2017

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No