

**206 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRR No.1990 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : September 19, 2017

Gurpreet Singh

..... **Petitioner**

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. P.K.S. Phoolka, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. C.L. Pawar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The petitioner was convicted and sentenced by the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Phul, vide judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 27.01.2014, which is as under:

<i>Sr. No.</i>	<i>Offence</i>	<i>Imprisonment</i>	<i>Fine</i>	<i>In default of payment of fine</i>
1	304A IPC	R.I. for one year	Rs.2,000/-	S.I. for one month
2	279 IPC	R.I. for six months	Rs.1,000/-	S.I. for one month
3	337 IPC	R.I. for six months	Rs.500/-	S.I. for one month
4	338 IPC	R.I. for one year	Rs.1,000/-	S.I. for one month

The appeal filed against the conviction and sentence of the petitioner was dismissed by the learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Bathinda vide judgment dated 13.05.2015.

Learned counsel for the petitioner presses the present revision petition only on the point of quantum of sentence and states that both the courts below had not directed/ordered for concurrent running of all the sentences awarded to the petitioner. He prays for direction to run all the

sentences concurrently.

Custody certificate of the petitioner has been filed in the Court today and the same is taken on record. It shows that the petitioner has already undergone total sentence of 11 months and 19 days including remission. He is not a previous convict and no other case is pending against him.

In this case, one person has lost his life due to rash and negligent driving of the petitioner. Therefore, considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the sentence awarded by the learned Magistrate is maintained. However, all the sentence awarded to the petitioner under different sections are directed to run concurrently.

With the abovenoted modification, the present revision petition is dismissed.

The petitioner, who is on interim bail, is directed to surrender before the jail authorities to undergo the remaining part of the sentence.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

September 19, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No