

113

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.6280 of 2017
Date of Decision: 27.02.2017**

SHEELA SHARMA

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Vishal Satija, Advocate for
Mr. Vishal Goel, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Prayer made in this application is for issuance of direction to respondent Nos.2 to 4 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and to ensure that the petitioner is not harassed by respondent No.5 or any other person.

Petitioner has alleged that on 10.01.2017, her husband went to Ambala at about 7-8 a.m., but thereafter did not return. Allegations have been made viz-a-viz., conduct of respondent No.5, who came to the house of the petitioner along with his wife and demanded some amount. Threats were allegedly given to the petitioner. The complaint was statedly moved by the petitioner to the online counter of Punjab Police on 16.01.2017,

but till date no action has been taken.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. V.P.S. Sidhu, A.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of official respondents. Let requisite copies of the petition be supplied to him by learned counsel for the petitioners during the course of the day.

In view of nature of order, which this Court proposes to pass there is no necessity of calling upon reply at this stage, as no order prejudicial to the interest of respondents is being passed.

At this stage, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to have a fair glance on the grievance of the petitioner. Respondent No.2 may devise his own mechanism to ascertain the truth. In case any substance is found in the complaint of the petitioner, then lawful action be taken.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

February 27, 2017

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Yes/No

Yes/No