

265

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.6064 of 2017
Date of Decision: 08.12.2017

Gagandeep Singh and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Barrister Rubal Garg, Advocate, for
Mr. Harpreet Singh Multani, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ajay Pal Singh Gill, D.A.G., Punjab,
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Brijeshwar Singh, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

In this petition, the petitioners, who are accused in F.I.R. No.88, dated 28.04.2016, under Sections 406, 420, 506 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Gobindgarh Mandi, District Fatehgarh Sahib, (Annexure P-1), have prayed for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings on the basis of compromise.

[2]. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the complainant has arrived at a settlement with the accused vide Settlement Agreement/Compromise (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed

by him. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Judicial Magistrate Ist Class (Duty), Amloh, vide report dated 24.11.2017, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

[3]. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his Counsel and he does not oppose the compromise.

[4]. In view of the report of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class (Duty), Amloh, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***“Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543*** and ***“Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466***, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

[5]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R. No.88, dated 28.04.2016, under Sections 406, 420, 506 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Gobindgarh Mandi, District Fatehgarh Sahib, (Annexure P-1), and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

08.12.2017

Apurva

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/ No