

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-6055-2017

Date of Decision: 23.02.2017

Vidya Sagar

.....Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent no. 2, i.e. the Superintendent of Police, Batala, to go into the grievance of the petitioner in terms of the representation made to him, a copy of which has been annexed with the petition as Annexure P-1 and to take a decision thereon within a specified period.

Consequently, without going into the merits of the contentions made in the petition, in any manner whatsoever, respondent no. 2, i.e. the Sr. Superintendent of Police, Batala, is directed to go into the matter and to take a decision as per law on the representation of the petitioner, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

February 23, 2017

nitin

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No.