

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.604 of 2017
Date of Decision: 12.01.2017**

Ashok Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present:Mr. Amninder Preet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in the complaint filed by Rajni Devi to the SHO P.S. NRI Cell, Jalandhar, petitioner was not named. The complaint was made against Om Parkash and others in respect of some property dispute. Petitioner alleged that he was called by respondents No.4 and 5 in the Police Station on 07.10.2016 and was forced to sign some blank papers when he refused to depose against one Avtar Singh. Thereafter, Police people started harassing the petitioner which ultimately prompted the petitioner to seek anticipatory bail from the Court of Sessions at Jalandhar.

In pursuance of notice issued to the Police, Police stated before the Court that petitioner is not required in any case. Petitioner further alleged that the harassment at the instance of respondents No.4 and 5 still persisted for which a representation has been made by the petitioner to respondent

No.3.

At this stage, without commenting upon merits of the allegations made by the petitioner, respondent No.3 can be obligated to look into the veracity of allegations made in representation (if any). If any such representation is found to have been received in the office of respondent No.3, the same shall be dealt with in accordance with law. Respondent No.3 would be at liberty to associate the petitioner or any other person, if so required.

Disposed of.

12.01.2017
prince

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Reasoned/Speaking

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No