

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

CRM No.M-6011 of 2017
Date of decision:25.4.2017

Shehnaz and another

... Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: None for the petitioners
Mr.Rajesh Mehta, Addl.AG, Punjab
...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Though pursuant to notice ordered to be issued, notice was actually not issued as even the process fee has not been filed, however, learned State counsel, on instructions from HC Rajinder Singh, submits that the petitioners and respondents no.4 and 5 have appeared in Police Station Sujanpur, District Pathankot, and have all given affidavits to the effect that the matter had been settled amicably between the parties and the petitioners no longer require any protection.

In view of the above, this petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous but with a direction to respondents no.2 and 3 to otherwise ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners continue to be protected within the four corners of law.

25.4.2017
pk

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No