

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -599 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 14.02.2017

Saravpreet Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate for the petitioner.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought quashing of the impugned order dated 25.11.2016 (Annexure P-3) passed by learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Amritsar, vide which the application under Section 391 Cr.P.C., filed by the petitioner for proving on record civil suit by way of additional evidence was declined.

It is contended that the impugned order dated 25.11.2016 is illegal and arbitrary in manner. The documents sought to be produced are necessary for the just decision of the case because the same has come into notice of the petitioner after the decision of trial.

Heard.

On appreciation of entire material on record, this Court finds that there is no force in the assertions raised by the learned

counsel as the petitioner had sufficient opportunity to produce the documents in support of his case. The petitioner had also examined as many as five witnesses in her defence, however, no such document was proved or produced at that time. There is no merit in the instant petition which is hereby dismissed.

14.02.2017

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No