

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-5969-2017

Date of Decision: 08.03.2017

Rajesh Kumar

.....Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Neeraj Yadav, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Chopra, D.A.G., Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Pursuant to the order dated 23.02.2017, learned counsel for the State has produced in Court today the police file, in which it is shown that the application form given by the petitioner carries a wholly different photograph to the photograph on the Aadhar Card stated to have been given along with the application form. Thus, the person who is shown to be Bhagirath Singh on the application form seeking a pre-paid connection, and the photograph on the Aadhar Card also showing it to be that of Bhagirath Singh, do not match each other in any manner whatsoever.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner at best is, therefore, guilty of negligence.

Whereas that may or may not be a fact, it would be required to be proved before the Investigating Agency or the trial Court, if the case comes to that.

In the aforesaid circumstances, I see no reason to admit the petitioner to anticipatory bail.

Consequently, this petition is dismissed.

However, nothing stated hereinabove will be taken to be a comment by this Court on the merits of the case, but only in the context of the application seeking anticipatory bail.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

March 08, 2017
nitin

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No.