

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(Sr. No.323)

CRM-M-5936-2017

Date of Decision:-April 18, 2017

Satish Kumar and others

.....Petitioners

V/S

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present : Mr. Avtar S. Khinda, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. D.S. Virk, DAG Punjab.

Mr. D.S. Nigha, Advocate,
for the complainant.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.238 dated 28.09.2013 registered under Sections 341/427/506 and 120-B of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station City Kapurthala, District Kapurthala, the petitioners are being prosecuted.

Learned counsel for the petitioners as well as counsel for the complainant state that the compromise has been arrived at between the parties. This Court had made an order dated February 22, 2017 directing the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to record the statements, in view of the compromise effected between the parties and verify that whether the same is genuine or not. Now, there is report received from the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kapurthala, showing that the statements of the parties have been recorded and compromise arrived at between the parties is

CRM-M-5936-2017

genuine and without any pressure, coercion or undue influence.

Looking to the nature of the offences registered in the FIR, I think, parties be allowed to compound/compromise the offences in order to leave in peace and harmony.

In that view of the matter, FIR No.238 dated 28.09.2013 registered under Sections 341/427/506 and 120-B of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station City Kapurthala, District Kapurthala is quashed by way of compounding/compromise along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

Disposed of.

April 18, 2017
Pankaj

(A.B. Chaudhari)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No