

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM- M- 9795 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 05.04.2017

Vansh Bhatia and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. V.K. Kaushal, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Adhiraj Thind, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 8 & 9.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

The learned State counsel, on instructions states that the petitioner(s) were summoned through notice issued under Section 160 Cr.P.C., However, the petitioner(s) did not appear and during the investigation, it has emerged that the dispute is *inter se* the family members and ultimately, the complaint moved by the petitioner(s) has been filed. The petitioners are not required in any other FIR.

Faced with the situation, the learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

05.04.2017

sumit.k

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
-----------------------------	-----	----

Whether Reportable :	Yes	No
----------------------	-----	----