

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-5901-2017

Date of decision : 28.02.2017

Anoop Singh and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Vivek Salathia, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. K.S. Pannu, DAG, Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners has first pointed to the fact that in the order dated 23.02.2017, issuing notice in this petition, it has been erroneously recorded that petitioner no.2 Gajjan Singh was attributed a blow by the reverse side of a *datar* on the head of Surjit Singh, whereas actually the blow by the reverse side of the *datar* was attributed to be inflicted on the left arm of Gurjit Singh.

Learned State counsel does not dispute the aforesaid factual position.

Other than the above, learned State counsel also does not dispute the fact that the petitioners were both found innocent in the investigation as per the report filed under Section 173 Cr.P.C. and have been summoned by the learned trial Court on an application filed under Section 319 Cr.P.C.

co-accused of the petitioners have already been admitted to bail by this Court.

Keeping in view the above facts, this petition is allowed and the petitioners, upon appearing before the learned trial Court, would be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial, upon furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of that Court.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

February 28, 2017.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No