

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-5888-2017

Date of Decision: 22.03.2017

Gurdas Singh

.....Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. B.S. Jatana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Mehta, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from HC Sukhvir Singh, who is present in Court to assist him, submits that the petitioner has joined investigation and presently his custodial interrogation is not required.

As regards the observation of this Court in the order dated 22.02.2017, with regard to as to why the petitioner would require a 'kundi connection", when as contended before this Court, the petitioner has an electricity connection in his name, with electricity being free for agricultural purposes, learned State counsel submits that the electricity connection is actually in the name of Sampat Singh.

Without making any comment on the contentions raised on either side in that respect, this only being a petition seeking anticipatory bail, and the petitioners custodial interrogation not required by the investigating agency, the interim order dated 22.02.2017 is made absolute

on the same terms and conditions.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

March 22, 2017
nitin

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No.