

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -5820 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 15.03.2017

Daljinder Singh @ Daljit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. H.S. Brar, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Bhupinder Singh.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.34 dated 17.06.2016, registered under Sections 326, 325, 452, 323, 324, 427, 148 read with Section 149 of IPC, at Police Station Tibber, District Gurdaspur.

On 22.02.2017, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that injury attributed to the petitioner on the person of the complainant, namely, Sawinder Singh is on non-vital part. The complainant is husband of co-accused, namely, Dalbir Kaur who suffered as many as four injuries as reflected in her MLR (Annexure P-1). The dispute is inter se the family members. The complainant is trying to alienate the family property and it

is a case of version and cross version.

Notice of motion for 15.03.2017.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the Arresting Officer, he shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:-

- 1. That he shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- 2. That he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;*
- 3. That he shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.”*

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 22.02.2017, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

The learned State counsel, on instructions submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 22.02.2017, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

15.03.2017

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No