

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Sr. No. 116

Case No. : Crl. Misc. No. M-5803 of 2017

Date of Decision : February 22, 2017

Sonia Devi Kaler and another Petitioners

vs.

State of Punjab and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL.

* * *

Present : Mr. Lovkesh Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. :

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have married each other against the wishes of the parents of petitioner No.1 and on account of this act on their part, they are under threat of being eliminated at the hands of respondents No. 4 and 5. In view of the above, seeking protection, a representation dated 01.02.2017 (Annexure P-5) was made on behalf of the petitioners to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar but to no avail.

In the above circumstances, the petitioners have approached this Court through the present petition.

Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the matter, particularly the validity of the alleged marriage and the age of the petitioners as projected by them in the present petition, the present petition

is disposed of with a direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar (respondent No.2) to forthwith consider the aforesaid representation dated 01.02.2017 (Annexure P-5) made on behalf of the petitioners and thereafter take appropriate action on the same as is deemed fit in accordance with law to ensure the protection of life and liberty of the petitioners.

It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

February 22, 2017
monika

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned ?</i>	<i>Yes/No.</i>
<i>Whether reportable ?</i>	<i>Yes/No.</i>