

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRR-1467-2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: May 11, 2017

Ayub

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Jagjot Singh, Advocate for
Mr. T.P. Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Lekhraj Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (Oral):

It has been stated by the counsel for the parties that the trial stands concluded and the present revision petition has been rendered infructuous.

The present revision petition has been filed challenging the order passed by the trial Court, whereby, petitioner had been summoned as an additional accused in an application moved under Section 319 Cr.P.C. Perusal of the case file indicates that neither the impugned order has been stayed by this Court while issuing notice of motion nor it has been stayed during the pendency of the revision petition.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of as infructuous.

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

May 11, 2017
Harish

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No