

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

-.-

CRM-M No. 5738-2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 7.4.2017

Som Dass @ Somi

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Sanjeev S. Virk, Advocate for petitioner.

Ms. Manpreet Dhaliwal, AAG, Punjab.

Ritu Bahri, J. (Oral)

Quashing of FIR No. 78 dated 3.11.2016 under Sections 498-A, 323 IPC registered at Police Station Mukandpur, District Shaheed Bhagat Singh Nagar on the basis of compromise deed dated 6.1.2017 (Annexure P-2).

Respondent No. 2 got married to petitioner on 10.6.2014. Due to temperamental differences, both the parties could not live together. The relationship between them became strained and thereafter, F.I.R was registered against the petitioner on account of harassing and humiliating respondent No. 2 on the pretext of bringing insufficient dowry.

However, the matter has now been duly compromised. In compliance of order dated 21.2.2017, report dated 29.3.2017 of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Shaheed Bhagat Singh Nagar has been received in this regard. As per report, statement of parties have been recorded. Complainant-respondent No.2 stated that the matter stands compromised between the parties and respondent No. 2 residing at her in-laws house with her two children. She does not want to proceed further against the accused and has no objection, if the present F.I.R be quashed against the petitioner. The compromise has been entered voluntarily. To the same effect is the statements

given by petitioner.

Consequently, in view of the status report dated 29.3.2017 and in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Dr. Arvind Barsaul etc. versus State of Madhya Pradesh and another 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 910***, no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No. 78 dated 3.11.2016 under Sections 498-A, 323 IPC registered at Police Station Mukandpur, District Shaheed Bhagat Singh Nagar is quashed along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua petitioner.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

7.4.2017
preeti

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No