

206 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No.1405 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : September 22, 2017

Gurmej Singh Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Ms. Rakhi Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. C.L. Pawar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

- 1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
- 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner presses the present revision only on the point of quantum of sentence.

The petitioner was convicted and sentenced by the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Rupnagar, vide judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 18.11.2013, as under:

Sr. No.	Offence	Imprisonment	Fine	In default of payment of fine
1	279 IPC	R.I. for 3 months	₹500/-	S.I. for 15 days
2	304-A IPC	R.I. for 1 year	₹1,000/-	S.I. for 1 month

Both the sentences were directed to run concurrently.

The appeal filed against the said conviction and sentence was dismissed by the learned Sessions Judge, Rupnagar, vide judgment dated 12.02.2015.

Custody certificate of the petitioner dated 11.01.2017 has been

petitioner has already been convicted in two other cases under Section 304-A IPC, pertaining to accidents which took place in the years 2004 and 2005. The present accident took place in the year 2006. It means that the petitioner is habitual of rash and negligent driving. Therefore, no reduction in the sentence is possible.

As such, the present revision petition is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

September 22, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No