

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR No.1330 OF 2015 (O&M)**  
**DATE OF DECISION : 21<sup>st</sup> MARCH, 2017**

**Gurcharan Singh & others**

**.... Petitioners**

**Versus**

**State of Punjab & another**

**.... Respondents**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI**

\* \* \* \*

Present : Mr. Param Preet Singh Brar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Daljeet Singh Virk, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. D.P.S. Randhawa, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

\* \* \* \*

**A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)**

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Pursuant to order dated 13.12.2016 passed by this court, statements have been recorded by the trial Court and report has been received by this Court. Looking to the report it is seen that the compromise is voluntary and without any threat, coercion and undue influence. I have seen the offences registered against the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the accused as well as complainant are *ad idem* that the dispute has been settled between the parties with the intervention of the Panchayat and respectable persons.

Counsel for the complainant makes a statement that the complainant has indeed no grievance any further in respect of the subject matter of the dispute.

Looking to the nature of the offence I think the compromise/compounding should be allowed. In the result following order is made:

**ORDER**

- (i) The CRR No.1330 OF 2015 is allowed by way of compounding/compromise.
- (ii) The impugned judgment and orders are consequently quashed and set aside in the wake of compromise as stated above.

Disposed of accordingly.

**21<sup>st</sup> MARCH, 2017**  
*'raj'*

**(A. B. CHAUDHARI)**  
**JUDGE**

|                            |     |    |
|----------------------------|-----|----|
| Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes | No |
| Whether Reportable:        | Yes | No |