

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-9480-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 23.05.2017

Prabhdeep Singh

..... Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr. Karan Singla, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajpreet Singh Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

None for respondent No.2.

LISA GILL, J.

Respondent No. 2 could not be served in this case as she was reported to have remarried and thereafter living with her in-laws.

Learned counsel for the petitioner had sought time to furnish the fresh address of respondent No. 2. However, it is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that all efforts made by him to contact the petitioner have proved futile. Letter dated 20.03.2017 was sent by him to the petitioner through registered post. The receipt thereof displayed in court today has been handed back to the learned counsel. A photocopy of the letter as well as the receipt is taken on record subject to just exceptions. It is further submitted that the petitioner is not even responding on the cell phone/ telephone number supplied to the counsel.

Accordingly, this petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

**(LISA GILL)
JUDGE**

23.05.2017

PA

Whether the order is speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.

Whether the order is reportable : Yes/No.